

GRADIENT SHRINKING SOLITONS WITH VANISHING WEYL TENSOR

ZHU-HONG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will give a local version of the Hamilton-Ivey type pinching estimate of the gradient shrinking soliton with vanishing Weyl tensor, and then give a complete classification on gradient shrinking solitons with vanishing Weyl tensor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g, f) be a gradient shrinking soliton, i.e., (M, g) is a smooth Riemannian manifold with a smooth function f , and satisfies $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$, where λ is a positive constant.

The classification of the complete gradient shrinking soliton is an important problem in the theory of the Ricci flow. Recently there are some work which deal with this problem. Note that people often do not distinguish between the gradient shrinking soliton and the self-similar solution (see chapter 2 of [5] for the definition). In fact, the author (see theorem 1 of [16]) had shown that the complete gradient shrinking soliton must be the self-similar solution, so they are the same.

In dimension 2 Hamilton [8] proved that any 2-dimensional complete non-flat ancient solution of bounded curvature must be S^2 , RP^2 , or the cigar soliton. In dimension 3, Ivey [9] first showed that the compact 3-dimensional gradient shrinking soliton has constant positive sectional curvature. For the noncompact case, Perelman [14] had shown that 3-dimensional complete non-flat gradient shrinking soliton with bounded and nonnegative sectional curvature, and in addition, is κ -noncollapsed on all scales, must be the finite quotients of $S^2 \times R$, or S^3 . This result of Perelman had been improved by Ni-Wallach [12] and Naber [11] in which they dropped the assumption on κ -noncollapsing condition and replaced nonnegative sectional curvature by nonnegative Ricci curvature. In addition, Ni-Wallach [12] allowed the curvature to grow as fast as $e^{ar(x)^2}$, where $r(x)$ is the distance function and a is a suitable small positive constant. In particular, Ni-Wallach's result implied that any 3-dimensional complete noncompact non-flat gradient shrinking soliton with nonnegative Ricci curvature and with curvature not growing faster than $e^{ar(x)^2}$ must be a quotient of the round infinite cylinder $S^2 \times R$. Recently, by a local version of the Hamilton-Ivey pinching estimate due to Chen (Corollary 2.4 of [4]), Cao-Chen-Zhu [2] obtained the following complete classification (without any curvature bound assumption) on 3-dimensional complete gradient shrinking soliton

Date: July 10, 2008.

Key words and phrases. gradient Ricci soliton, vanishing Weyl tensor, Hamilton-Ivey pinching estimate.

Theorem 1.1. *(the proposition 4.7 of [2]) The only 3-dimensional complete gradient shrinking solitons are the finite quotients of R^3 , $S^2 \times R$, and S^3 .*

The classification of complete gradient shrinking solitons on high dimension is more difficult. Note that the 3-dimensional manifold automatically has vanishing Weyl tensor. There are some recent work on the complete gradient shrinking solitons with vanishing Weyl tensor on high dimension. The first classification theorem with the dimension $n \geq 4$ given by Gu-Zhu (see proposition 4.1 of [6]) says that any non-flat, κ -noncollapsing, rotationally symmetric gradient shrinking soliton with bounded and nonnegative sectional curvature must be the finite quotients of $S^n \times R$ or S^{n+1} . Later, Kotschwar [10], improved this result, showed that any complete rotationally symmetric gradient shrinking soliton (in which not any curvature bound assumption) is the finite quotients of R^{n+1} , $S^n \times R$, or S^{n+1} . Note that any rotationally symmetric metric has vanishing Weyl tensor. In the more general case of vanishing Weyl tensor, Ni-Wallach [12] showed that a complete n -dimensional gradient shrinking soliton with vanishing Weyl tensor and assume further that, it has nonnegative Ricci curvature and the growth of the Riemannian curvature is not faster than $e^{a(r(x)+1)}$, where $r(x)$ is the distance function and a is a suitable positive constant, then its universal cover is either R^n , S^n , or $S^{n-1} \times R$. This result had been improved by Peterson-Wylie (Theorem 1.2 and the remark 1.3 of [15]) in which they only need to assume the Ricci curvature is bounded from below and the growth of the Ricci curvature is not faster than $e^{\frac{2}{5}cr(x)^2}$ outside of a compact set, where $c < \frac{1}{2}$. We also notice that Cao-Wang [3] had an alternative proof of the Ni-Wallach's result [12].

The key point to get the above complete classification theorem on 3-dimensional complete gradient shrinking soliton without curvature bound assumption is the local version of the Hamilton-Ivey pinching estimate. The Hamilton-Ivey pinching estimate in 3-dimension plays a crucial role in the analysis of the Ricci flow. An open question is how to generalize Hamilton-Ivey's to high dimension. In [17], the author obtained the following (global) Hamilton-Ivey type pinching estimate on high dimension: *Suppose we have a solution to the Ricci flow on an n -dimension manifold which is complete with bounded curvature and vanishing Weyl tensor for each $t \geq 0$. Assume at $t = 0$ the least eigenvalue of the curvature operator at each point are bounded below by $\nu \geq -1$. Then at all points and all times $t \geq 0$ we have the pinching estimate*

$$R \geq (-\nu)[\log(-\nu) + \log(1+t) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}]$$

whenever $\nu < 0$. In the present paper, we will get a local version of this Hamilton-Ivey type pinching estimate for the gradient shrinking solitons with vanishing Weyl tensor (without curvature bound). The idea is to use the methods of [4]. But since the curvature operator is more complicated on high dimension, we firstly need to prove an algebra lemma. Based on this pinching estimate, we will obtain the following complete classification theorem (without any curvature bound assumption)

Theorem 1.2. *Any complete gradient shrinking soliton with vanishing Weyl tensor must be the finite quotients of R^n , $S^{n-1} \times R$, or S^n .*

This paper contains three sections and the organization is as follows. In section 2, we will prove an algebra lemma which will be used to prove the local version of the

Hamilton-Ivey type pinching estimate. In section 3, we will give some propositions and finish the proof of the theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement I would like to thank my advisor Professor X.P.Zhu for his encouragement and many helpful suggestions. I would also like to thank Professor B.L.Chen for helpful discussions.

2. AN ALGEBRA LEMMA

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be any $n(\geq 4)$ real numbers, m be any positive integer, and denote by $M_{ij} \triangleq x_i + x_j$. Define a function as follow

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \triangleq & -\frac{\sum_{i < j, \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}} M_{ij}}{m+1} \left[\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}}} M_{ij} + (m+1)M_{12} \right] - M_{12} \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}}} M_{ij} \\ & + \left[\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}}} (M_{ij}^2 + \sum_{k \neq i,j} M_{ik}M_{jk}) + (m+1) \sum_{k \neq 1,2} M_{1k}M_{2k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let ρ be a nonnegative constant, then there exist a nonnegative constant $C(m, n)$, such that $f \geq -C(m, n)\rho^2$ under the following assumptions :*

- (i) $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \min_{3 \leq i \leq n} x_i$;
- (ii) $\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}}} M_{ij} + mM_{12} \geq -\rho$;
- (iii) $\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}}} M_{ij} + (m+1)M_{12} < -(m+1)(m+n-1)\rho$.

Proof. We firstly claim that $f(\dots, x_3, x_4, \dots) \geq f(\dots, x, x, \dots)$, where $2x = x_3 + x_4$.

Indeed, without loss of generality, we can assume $x_3 < x_4$. Let $2\delta = x_4 - x_3$, then by direct computation we get ($M_0 = x$)

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\dots, x_3, x_4, \dots) - f(\dots, x, x, \dots) \\ &= \sum_{k \neq 3,4} (M_{3k}M_{4k} - M_{0k}^2) + \sum_{\substack{i < j, \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\} \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{3,4\}}} (M_{3i}M_{3j} + M_{4i}M_{4j} - 2M_{0i}M_{0j}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} (M_{3i}^2 + \sum_{k \neq 3,i} M_{3k}M_{ik} - M_{0i}^2 - \sum_{k \neq 3,i} M_{0k}M_{ik}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} (M_{4i}^2 + \sum_{k \neq 4,i} M_{4k}M_{ik} - M_{0i}^2 - \sum_{k \neq 4,i} M_{0k}M_{ik}) \\ & \quad + (m+1)(M_{13}M_{23} + M_{14}M_{24} - 2M_{10}M_{20}) \\ &= \sum_{k \neq 3,4} (-\delta^2) + \sum_{\substack{i < j, \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\} \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{3,4\}}} 2\delta^2 + \sum_{i \neq 3,4} 2\delta^2 + (m+1)2\delta^2 \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that f and the assumptions are symmetric with respect to $x_3, \dots, x_n$. By the above claim, we only need to prove the special case that $x_3 = \dots = x_n$. In this case,

$$\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\}}} M_{ij} = (n-2)(M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_3) &= -\frac{(n-2)(M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33})}{m+1} \left[\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i, j\} \neq \{1, 2\}}} M_{ij} + (m+1)M_{12} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{n-2}{2} \left[(n-1)M_{13}^2 + (n-1)M_{23}^2 + (n-1)(n-3)M_{33}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (n-3)M_{12}M_{33} + 2(m+1)M_{13}M_{23} \right] \\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $-(m+1)(m+n-1)\rho \leq -2\rho \leq 0$, and we have some estimates in the following assertion.

Claim *The following inequalities hold*

- (1) $M_{12} < -\rho \leq 0$;
- (2) $M_{33} > 0$;
- (3) $M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33} > 0$;
- (4) $(m+n-1)(-M_{12}) \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}M_{33}$;
- (5) $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}M_{33} \geq -\rho - (m+n-2)M_{12}$;
- (6) $(n-2)(M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33}) \geq (m-1)(-M_{12})$.

Obviously, by combining the assumptions (ii) and (iii), we get (1)-(3).

Then by (iii), we have $(n-2)(M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33}) + (m+1)M_{12} \leq 0$, so we get (4).

Now by (ii), $(n-2)(M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33}) \geq -\rho - mM_{12} (\geq 0)$, we have (5).

(6) follows from (5) immediately.

And we have proved the assertion.

By the above claim we know that I is always nonnegative. In the following, we will divide the argument into two cases to consider.

Case 1) $m = 1, 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\geq \frac{n-2}{2} \left\{ 3M_{13}^2 + 3M_{23}^2 - 6|M_{13}M_{23}| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (n-3) \left[M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33} \right] M_{33} + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{2}M_{33}^2 \right\} \\
&\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Case 2) $m \geq 3$. It suffices to prove that $M_{13}M_{23} < 0$, that is to say, $M_{13} < 0$, $M_{23} > 0$, which implies $-M_{12}M_{33} \geq -M_{13}M_{23} > 0$. (Indeed, if $M_{13}M_{23} \geq 0$, it is easy to see that II is positive, therefore we have proved the lemma 2.1.)

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\geq \frac{(m-1)(-M_{12})}{m+1}(m+1)(m+n-1)\rho \\
&\geq (m-1)\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\rho M_{33} \\
&\geq (n-3)\rho M_{33}, \\
II &\geq \frac{n-2}{2}\left\{(n-1)M_{13}^2 + (n-1)M_{23}^2 + 2(m+1)M_{13}M_{23} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n-3}{n-2}M_{33}[-\rho - mM_{12}] + \frac{n-3}{n-2}M_{33}[-\rho - (m+n-2)M_{12}]\right\} \\
&\geq \frac{n-2}{2}\left\{(n-1)M_{13}^2 + (n-1)M_{23}^2 + 2(m+1)M_{13}M_{23} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2(m+1)\frac{n-3}{n-2}M_{12}M_{33} - 2\frac{n-3}{n-2}\rho M_{33}\right\} \\
&\geq \frac{n-2}{2}\left\{(n-1)M_{13}^2 + (n-1)M_{23}^2 + \frac{2(m+1)}{n-2}M_{13}M_{23} \right\} \\
&\quad - (n-3)\rho M_{33}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\left[M_{13}^2 + M_{23}^2 + 2\frac{m+1}{(n-1)(n-2)}M_{13}M_{23}\right]$.

If $\frac{m+1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \leq 1$, then $f \geq 0$.

If $\frac{m+1}{(n-1)(n-2)} > 1$, and $M_{23} + 2\frac{m+1}{(n-1)(n-2)}M_{13} \geq 0$, we also have $f \geq 0$.

Otherwise, $m+1 > (n-1)(n-2)$, and $M_{13} < -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2(m+1)}M_{23}$.

Since $M_{12} + \frac{n-1}{2}M_{33} = M_{13} + M_{23} + \frac{n-3}{2}M_{33}$, by (ii), we get

$$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{2(m+1) - (n-1)(n-2)}{2(m+1)} M_{23} + \left[m - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}\right] M_{12} \geq -\rho,$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
-M_{12} &\leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2(m+1)} \frac{2(m+1) - (n-1)(n-2)}{2m - (n-2)(n-3)} M_{23} + \frac{2}{2m - (n-2)(n-3)} \rho \\
&\leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2(m+1)} M_{23} + \frac{1}{n} \rho,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
f &\geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \left\{ \left[\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2(m+1)} M_{23} \right]^2 - \frac{2(m+1)}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \rho M_{23} \right\} \\
&\geq -C(m, n) \rho^2.
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(m, n)$ is a constant depending only on m and n .

Therefore we have proved the Lemma. $\square$

3. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let (M, g, f) be a smooth gradient shrinking soliton, then by using the contracted second Bianchi identity we get the equation $R + |\nabla f|^2 - 2\lambda f = \text{const}$. Obviously, by rescaling g and changing f by a constant we can assume $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$. We call such a soliton normalized, and f a normalized soliton function.

In terms of moving frames [7] of the Ricci flow, the curvature operator $M_{\alpha\beta}$ has the following evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_{\alpha\beta} = \Delta M_{\alpha\beta} + M_{\alpha\beta}^2 + M_{\alpha\beta}^\#,$$

where $M_{\alpha\beta}^\#$ is the lie algebra adjoint of $M_{\alpha\beta}$. In general, we know little about $M_{\alpha\beta}^\#$. However, when the metric is conformally flat, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose we have a smooth solution $g_{ij}(x, t)$ of the Ricci flow on an n -dimensional manifold M , and suppose at $t = t_0$ the metric $g_{ij}(x, t_0)$ has vanishing Weyl tensor. Then at $t = t_0$, for any point p , there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ and n real numbers M_i such that $\{\phi^\alpha = \sqrt{2}e_i \wedge e_j\} (i < j)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\wedge^2 T_p M$, and we have*

$$(i) \ M_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} M_{ij} \triangleq M_i + M_j, & \text{if } \phi^\alpha = \phi^\beta = \sqrt{2}e_i \wedge e_j; \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \ M_{\alpha\beta}^\# = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \neq i, j} M_{ik} M_{jk}, & \text{if } \phi^\alpha = \phi^\beta = \sqrt{2}e_i \wedge e_j; \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Indeed, suppose $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis, which diagonalizes Ricci tensor, i.e., $Ric(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i$.

Because the Weyl tensor vanish, we have

$$R_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{ik}g_{jl} + R_{jl}g_{ik} - R_{il}g_{jk} - R_{jk}g_{il}) - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}R(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}).$$

Thus $R_{ijij} = \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{n-2} - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}R$, and $R_{ijkl} = 0$ if three of the indices are mutually distinct. Let $M_i = \frac{2\lambda_i}{n-2} - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}R$, and we have proved (i).

Note that $M_{\alpha\beta}^\# = C_\alpha^{\gamma\eta} C_\beta^{\delta\theta} M_{\gamma\delta} M_{\eta\theta} = C_\alpha^{\gamma\eta} C_\beta^{\gamma\eta} M_{\gamma\gamma} M_{\eta\eta}$, where $[\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta] = C^{\alpha\beta} \phi^\gamma$.

Let $A_{ij} (i \neq j)$ denote by the matrix with $(A_{ij})_{ij} = 1$, $(A_{ij})_{ji} = -1$, and any other elements are 0. Then $[A_{ij}, A_{jk}] = A_{ik}$, if $i < j < k$.

By direct computation, we have $M_{\alpha\beta}^\# = 0$, if $\alpha \neq \beta$. And If $\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{2}e_i \wedge e_j (i < j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\alpha\alpha}^\# &= (C_\alpha^{\gamma\delta})^2 M_{\gamma\gamma} M_{\delta\delta} = \langle [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{ij}, \phi^\gamma], \phi^\delta \rangle^2 M_{\gamma\gamma} M_{\delta\delta} \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i, j} \langle [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{ij}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{ki}], \phi^\delta \rangle^2 M_{ki} M_{\delta\delta} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} \langle [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{ij}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{kj}], \phi^\delta \rangle^2 M_{kj} M_{\delta\delta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i, j} \langle \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{jk}, \phi^\delta \rangle^2 M_{ki} M_{\delta\delta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i, j} \langle \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}A_{ik}, \phi^\delta \rangle^2 M_{kj} M_{\delta\delta} \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i, j} M_{ik} M_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, combining Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1, we are ready to prove the local version of the Hamilton-Ivey type pinching estimate. The basic idea is to use the methods of [4].

Proposition 3.2. *For any nonnegative integer m , there is a constant C_m depending only on m and n satisfying the following property. Suppose we have a complete*

gradient shrinking soliton $(M^n, g_{ij}(x, t))$ ($n \geq 4$) on $[0, T]$ with vanishing Weyl tensor. And assume that $\text{Ric}(x, t) \leq (n-1)r_0^{-2}$ for $x \in B_t(x_0, Ar_0)$, $t \in [0, T]$ and $R + m\nu \geq -K_m$ ($K_m \geq 0$) on $B_0(x_0, Ar_0)$ at $t = 0$, where ν denote by the least eigenvalue of the curvature operator. Then we have

$$R(x, t) + m\nu \geq \min\left\{-\frac{C_m}{t + \frac{1}{K_m}}, -\frac{C_m}{Ar_0^2}\right\}, \text{ if } A \geq 2,$$

whenever $x \in B_t(x_0, \frac{1}{2}Ar_0)$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. By [13], we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)d_t(x_0, x) \geq -\frac{5(n-1)}{3}r_0^{-1},$$

whenever $d_t(x_0, x) > r_0$, in the sense of support function.

We will argue by induction on m to prove the estimate holds on ball of radius $(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{m+2}})Ar_0$. The case $m = 0$ follows from Theorem 1 of [16]. Suppose we have proved the result for some $m_0 (\geq 0)$, that is to say, there is a constant C_{m_0} such that

$$R(x, t) + m_0\nu \geq \min\left\{-\frac{C_{m_0}}{t + \frac{1}{K_{m_0}}}, -\frac{C_{m_0}}{Ar_0^2}\right\},$$

whenever $x \in B_t(x_0, (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{m_0+2}})Ar_0)$, $t \in [0, T]$. We are going to prove the result for $m = m_0 + 1$ on ball of radius $(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{m_0+3}})Ar_0$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $K_0 \leq K_1 \leq K_2 \leq \dots$.

Define a function $C_{m_0}(t) \triangleq \max\left\{\frac{C_{m_0}}{t + \frac{1}{K_{m_0}}}, \frac{C_{m_0}}{Ar_0^2}\right\}$.

Under the moving frame, let

$$N_{\alpha\beta} \triangleq Rg_{\alpha\beta} + (m_0 + 1)M_{\alpha\beta}, \quad P_{\alpha\beta} \triangleq \varphi\left(\frac{d_t(x, x_0)}{Ar_0}\right)N_{\alpha\beta},$$

where φ is a smooth nonnegative decreasing function, which is 1 on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{m_0+3}}]$, and 0 on $[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{m_0+2}}, \infty)$.

By direct computation, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)P_{\alpha\beta} = -2\nabla_l \varphi \nabla_l N_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha\beta} &= \left[\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)d_t - \varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2}\right]N_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + \varphi \left[g_{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)R + (m_0 + 1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)M_{\alpha\beta}\right] \\ &= \left[\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)d_t - \varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2}\right]N_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + \varphi g_{\alpha\beta} \left[\sum_{i < j} (M_{ij}^2 + \sum_{k \neq i, j} M_{ik} M_{jk}) + (m_0 + 1)(M_{i_0 j_0}^2 + \sum_{k \neq i_0, j_0} M_{i_0 k} M_{j_0 k})\right], \end{aligned}$$

if $\phi^\alpha = \sqrt{2}e_{i_0} \wedge e_{j_0}$ and the second equality follows from the proposition 3.1.

Note that the least eigenvalue of $N_{\alpha\beta}$ is $R + (m_0 + 1)\nu$.

Let

$$u(t) \triangleq \min_{x \in M} [R + (m_0 + 1)\nu] \varphi(x, t).$$

For fixed $t_0 \in [0, T]$, assume $[R + (m_0 + 1)\nu]\varphi(x_0, t_0) = u(t_0) < -(m_0 + 2 + 1)(m_0 + 2 + n - 1)C_{m_0}(t_0)$. Otherwise, we have the estimate at time t_0 .

Let V be the corresponding unit eigenvector of the least eigenvalue of $N_{\alpha\beta}$ at (x_0, t_0) . Suppose the eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor is $\{\lambda_i\}$, where $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Then by Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(V, V)(x_0, t_0) &= \left[\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) d_t - \varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} \right] \frac{u(t_0)}{\varphi} \\
&\quad + \varphi \left[\sum_{i < j} (M_{ij}^2 + \sum_{k \neq i, j} M_{ik} M_{jk}) + (m_0 + 1)(M_{12}^2 + \sum_{k \neq 1, 2} M_{1k} M_{2k}) \right] \\
&= \left[\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) d_t - \varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} \right] \frac{u(t_0)}{\varphi} \\
&\quad + \varphi \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \{i, j\} \neq \{1, 2\}}} M_{ij} + (m_0 + 2) M_{12} \right)^2}{m_0 + 2} + f(M_1, \dots, M_n) \right] (\text{here } m = m_0 + 1) \\
&\geq \left[\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \left(-\frac{5(n-1)}{3} r_0^{-1} \right) - \varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} \right] \frac{u(t_0)}{\varphi} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(m_0 + 2)\varphi} u(t_0)^2 - \varphi C(m_0) C_{m_0}(t_0)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(m_0 + 2)\varphi} \left[u(t_0)^2 - \left(\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} \frac{5(n-1)(m_0 + 2)}{3} + \varphi'' \frac{m_0 + 2}{(Ar_0)^2} \right) u(t_0) \right] \\
&\quad - C(m_0) C_{m_0}(t_0)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\varphi'| \leq C(m_0)$, $|\varphi''| \leq C(m_0)$, $\frac{(\varphi')^2}{\varphi} \leq C(m_0)$, by applying maximum principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^-}{dt} u \Big|_{t=t_0} &\geq Q(V, V)(x_0, t_0) + \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2} \frac{(\varphi')^2}{\varphi^2} u(t_0) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2(m_0 + 2)} u(t_0)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

provided $|u|(t_0) \geq \max \left\{ C(m_0) C_{m_0}(t_0), \frac{C(m_0)}{Ar_0^2} \right\}$. By integrating the above differential inequality, we get the estimate

$$u(t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{1}{u(0)} - \frac{t}{2(m_0 + 2)}}, -C(m_0) C_{m_0}(t), -\frac{C(m_0)}{Ar_0^2} \right\}.$$

Clearly, there is a constant C_{m_0+1} , s.t. $u(t) \geq \min \left\{ -\frac{C_{m_0+1}}{t + \frac{1}{K_{m_0+1}}}, -\frac{C_{m_0+1}}{Ar_0^2} \right\}$.

□

Remark In fact, the case $m = 0$, we do not need to suppose the soliton has vanishing Weyl tensor, since Chen in [4] have proved this result.

Corollary 3.3. *Any gradient shrinking soliton(not necessarily having bounded curvature) with vanishing Weyl tensor must have nonnegative curvature operator.*

Proof. Let (M, g, f) be a gradient shrinking soliton, then we have a solution $g(t)$ ($t \in (-\infty, 0]$) of the Ricci flow with $g(0) = g$.

The case $n = 3$ has done by Chen [4]. Therefore we only need to prove the case $n \geq 4$.

Fix a point x_0 on M . For any $T > 0$, there is a small r_0 such that whenever $t \in [-T, 0]$, $x \in B_t(x_0, r_0)$, we have

$$|R_m|(x, t) \leq r_0^2.$$

Let $A \rightarrow \infty$ in the Proposition 3.2, we get

$$(R + m\nu)(x, 0) \geq -\frac{C_m}{T - 0 + \frac{1}{K_m}} \geq -\frac{C_m}{T}.$$

Since C_m does not depend on T , we get that $(R + m\nu)(x, 0) \geq 0$ for any m . This implies $\nu \geq 0$, i.e., the curvature operator is nonnegative. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Any gradient shrinking soliton(not necessarily having bounded curvature) with vanishing Weyl tensor must have the following properties:*

- (i) $Ric \geq 0$;
- (ii) $|R_{ijkl}| \leq \exp\left(a(d(p, x)^2 + 1)\right)$ for some $a > 0$ and fixed point p .

Proof. (i) follows from Corollary 3.3 immediately.

(ii) Clearly, it suffices to prove the result under the condition that the soliton is normalized. So $R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$. Combine the soliton equation $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}$ and (i), we get that $\nabla_i \nabla_j f \leq \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}$.

For any point $x \in M$, let $\gamma(t) : [0, d(p, x)] \rightarrow M$ be the shortest normal geodesic connecting p and x , and denote by $h(t) = f(\gamma(t))$, then

$$h''(t) = \langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle' = \dot{\gamma} \langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \nabla^2 f(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

By integrating above inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= h(d(p, x)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} d(p, x)^2 - \langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle(0) d(p, x) - h(0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} d(p, x)^2 + |\nabla f|(p) d(p, x) + |f|(p). \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side of the above inequality just depends on the information of f at p , so by $R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$, we have that for some $a > 0$, $R \leq f \leq \exp\left(a(d(p, x)^2 + 1)\right)$, hence $|R_{ijkl}| \leq \exp\left(a(d(p, x)^2 + 1)\right)$ (because of the nonnegativity of the curvature operator). $\square$

Finally, by [12] or [15], we get the classification theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bando, *On three-dimensional compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative bisectional curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **19**, (1984), 283-297.
2. H.D.Cao, B.L.Chen and X.P.Zhu, *Recent Developments on Hamiltons Ricci flow*, Surveys in Differential Geometry XII, 2008.
3. X.D.Cao and B.Wang, *On locally conformally flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*, arXiv:math.DG/0807.0588.
4. B.L.Chen, *Strong Uniqueness of the Ricci Flow*, arXiv:math. DG/0706.3081.

5. B.Chow, and D.Knopf, *The Ricci flow: An Introduction*, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical surveys and monographs, ISSN 0076-5376,V.110.
6. H.L.Gu and X.P.Zhu, *The Existence of Type II Singularities for the Ricci Flow on S^{n+1}* , Comm. Anal. Geom. Volume 16, Number 3 (2008), 467-494.
7. R.S.Hamilton, *Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator*, J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986), 153-179.
8. R.S.Hamilton, *The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow*, Surveys in Differential Geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1993), 2, 7C136, International Press, Combridge, MA, 1995.
9. T.Ivey, *Ricci solitons on compact three-manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. 3(1993), no. 4, 301-307.
10. B.Kotschwar, *On rotationally invariant shrinking gradient Ricci solitons* , arXiv:math.DG/0702579.
11. A.Naber, *Noncompact Shrinking 4-Solitons with Nonnegative Curvature*, arXiv:math.DG/0710.5579.
12. L.Ni and N.Wallach, *On a classification of the gradient shrinking solitons*, arXiv:math.DG/0710.3194.
13. G.Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math. DG/0211159.
14. G.Perelman, *Ricci flow with surgery on three manifolds*, arXiv:math. DG/0303109.
15. P.Petersen and W.Wylie, *On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons*, arXiv:math.DG/0712.1298.
16. Z.H.Zhang, *On the Completeness of Gradient Ricci Solitons*, preprint.
17. Z.H.Zhang, *A generalization of Hamilton-Ivey estimate to high dimension Ricci flow with vanishing Weyl tensor*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, P.R.CHINA 510275
E-mail address: juhoncheung@sina.com